

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/634,403	0/634,403 08/05/2003		Albin Lloyd Kasper	KASPER 1-17-26	7015
47394	7590	08/31/2006		EXAMINER	
HITT GAI	NES, PC		PAK, SUNG H		
LUCENT T PO BOX 83		OGIES INC.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
RICHARDS		75083		2874	
				DATE MAILED: 08/31/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Appli	ication No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			34,403	KASPER ET AL.					
			niner	Art Unit					
		_	H. Pak	2874					
The MAILING Period for Reply	DATE of this communic	cation appears of	n the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	idress				
WHICHEVER IS LO  - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS froi  - If NO period for reply is sp  - Failure to reply within the s Any reply received by the 6	NGER, FROM THE MA available under the provisions on the mailing date of this commu- ecified above, the maximum state	AILING DATE OF 17 ST CFR 1.136(a). In unication. Sutory period will apply will, by statute, cause the	F THIS COMMUN no event, however, may a and will expire SIX (6) MO te application to become	a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1) Responsive to	communication(s) filed	i on 23 June 20	06.						
· ·	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.								
3) Since this app									
closed in acco	rdance with the practic	e under <i>Ex parte</i>	e <i>Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u>	s/are pending in the ap	oplication.							
4a) Of the above	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)☐ Claim(s)	is)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u>	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.								
7)☐ Claim(s)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s)	_ are subject to restrict	ion and/or electi	on requirement.						
Application Papers									
9) The specification	on is objected to by the	Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s)	filed on is/are:	a) accepted of	or b) objected to	b by the Examiner.					
Applicant may n	ot request that any objec	tion to the drawing	g(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	,				
			•	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 C					
11)☐ The oath or de	claration is objected to	by the Examine	r. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119								
,	nt is made of a claim f ome * c)□ None of:	or foreign priority	y under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
1.☐ Certified	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
				Application No					
<del></del>	•			en received in this National	Stage				
• •	on from the Internation	-	,						
* See the attache	d detailed Office actior	i for a list of the	certified copies no	ot received.					
Attachment(s)			<b>,.</b> □	. C					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Ci</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's</li> </ol>	ted (PTO-892) Patent Drawing Review (PI	TO-948)		/ Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date					
	Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F			f Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/634,403

Art Unit: 2874

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant's response filed 6/23/2006 has been entered.

#### Terminal Disclaimer

The terminal disclaimer filed on 6/23/2006 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of US Patent 6,956,991 has been reviewed and is NOT accepted.

The terminal disclaimer does not comply with 37 CFR 1.321(b) and/or (c) because:

The terminal disclaimer was not signed.

## Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/634,403

Art Unit: 2874

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 10 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-7 of U.S. Patent No. 6.956.991 B2.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 1-7 of the patent recite all of the structure recited in claims 1, 2, 4 and 7 of the present application plus additional structure. Although the claims are not identical, broader claims 1, 2, 4 and 7 of the present application are rendered obvious by the more specific claims 1-7 of the patent. U.S. Patent No. 6,956,991 B2 does not disclose having the continuously variable optical delay line before the incrementally variable optical delay line. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to place the continuously variable optical delay line before the incrementally variable optical delay line, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. U.S. Patent No. 6,956,99182 also does not teach a chirped grating. Using any other know continuously variable optical delay line including chirped grating, ring resonators would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide continuous delays.

Claims 3, 8 and 9 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-7 of U.S. Patent No. 6,956,991 B2 in view of Baldwin et al (U.S. Patent 5,943,636). As described above, U.S. Patent No. 6,956,991 B2 teach the claimed limitation except the claimed discrete delay lengths comprising a set of paths having at least one regions of parallel paths and a second region wherein each path differs in curvature to produce incrementally different path lengths. Using such configuration to provide

Application/Control Number: 10/634,403

Art Unit: 2874

incrementally variable optical delay line is known in the ad as shown by Baldwin et al (see Fig. 1). Thus using any known incrementally variable optical delay line such as one taught by Baldwin et al would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide incremental delays.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sung H. Pak whose telephone number is (571) 272-2353. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday, 9AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rodney Bovernick can be reached on (571)272-2344. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/634,403 Page 5

Art Unit: 2874

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Sung H. Pak

**Primary Patent Examiner** 

Art Unit 2874